University "Alexandru Ioan Cuza" of Iasi Faculty of Communication and Political Sciences

Gestural language as system of cultural signs : Semiotic perspective

-Ph D THESIS ABSTRACT-

Thesis advisor Prof. univ. dr. Traian Dinorel STĂNCIULESCU

> Ph D student: Asist. univ. Marchian NICA

laşi, 2013

INTRODUCTION GESTUALITY AS A CULTURAL LANGUAGE

This work, undertaken as a multi-faceted contribution to Semiotics gestural language, aims to examine from the semiotic methodology perspective nature, forms and concrete situations of communication in the gestural language horizon, understood as a specific culture generator tool. In support of such intentions, formulation of general considerations concerning the nature and genesis of nonverbal language, as the proximate genus, on the structure and functionality of gestural language as specific gender differentiated, is an enterprise more than necessary.

Interest for such research results from a collision course, supported by both subjective and objective reasons, coupled with the increasing orientation of contemporary research towards new analytical strategies, category in which the semiotic nature occupies a prime status. Thus, from the outset we steated that:

• this paper engages interdisciplinary language-object that defines the nonverbal-gesture communication with the metalanguage witch the semiotic methodology provide to those interested

•through the nature of key concepts that correlate synergistically, language and communication (non-verbal), culture and creation, semiosis and semiotic, research that implicitly subordinate the universe of discourse of philosophy, whose specific test-taking endeavors to carry to the end.

Using the terminology of Noam Chomsky, in the following we want to set some defining guidelines for "deep structure", research logic on the one hand, and a number of unique details and assumptions describing "its surface structure" on the other.

Interpretation of gestural signs came about thus involves an understanding of the whole existential assumed when gestures and feedback occurs between unique individuals, autonomous, free to choose preferences and, in particular, is extremely important in our semiotic approach, autonomous existence, undetermined in the formation of signifying the world as a significant being, that creates and also generates and evaluates the meaning.

In the open horizon of inter-subjectivity, understood as continuous interpersonal feedback it is possible, practical, to interpret ate the gestural universe, to set specific meanings and significant structures of the gestural communication phenomenon. Even though most people do not perceive or avoid perceiving multiple ways to manifest suggestions, they show extremely varied forms semiosis, which leads us to postulate even an ontological foundation, pre-requisite of gestuality And the fact that her most expressive level, ie, the process of abstraction that requires semiotic interpretation of the highest rank, occurs only in the context of inter-subjective understood today, as open communication, positive feedback, inter-personal relationship.

Of course, the gesture may be accompanied by a positive or negative feedback, but it can just as well not be accompanied. The gesture can reveal hidden meanings of the subject: intentions, attitudes, projections, unexpected behaviors and moods even the subject itself, but also can very easily lose a signification from an anarchy, in doubt, into ambiguity or simply incapacity of interpreting a failure in terms of sense perception.

Furthermore, there may be even meaningless gestures, aimlessly, gestures without a teleological aspect. They are simple behavioral tics which are difficult to interpret even by psychoanalysts such as gesture of a child to ruffle its hair, the edge of a pillow or clothes or what most Freudian psychoanalysts call them the general title of "acts missed ". Extrapolating, in the the plan semiotic, we can say that gesture can also be an act of communication failure, a pantomime without specific meaning, a face without expression, without targeted intervention of the hands, natural blink of an eye, etc., in one word, gestures that consume themselves without the need of interpretation and and assuming a meaning through the act of understanding, representation, rationalization damaging or lodging intentional or conceptual.

Suggestions can have a mandatory, legislator, determinative feature, linked to a specific purpose: to lead us either to act, to take a stand, either put in question a situation driven by an interpretive act, by their attempts to find meaning.

The deep structure of the paper - built logically from the transition of concepts and methods to describing semiosis gestures and related practical applications - spans three parts, namely:

■ Part I. Conceptual and methodological benchmarks of the research , sequence that - from an analytical and syntactic perspective - is presents the content of the two chapters:

• Chapter 1. Sign as a landmark of culture: a structuralsemiotic conceptualization, where we synthesized several definitions of culture gesture, as a system of specific signs. Here we followed how language development occurs from the natural non-verbal communication, to conventional manifestation of the cultural language.

I have also described the culture of gestuality in the horizon of dialectic expression - content of cultural signs, emphasis it, particularly, in the semiotic analysis of the sign gesture. Body language as a system of cultural signs, is aiming also an analytical leap from "textuality human body" as the main generator of specific signs to the development of gestuality's " signified discourse", beyond inter human "bodily" and "proximity".

Based on these research directions minimal gestures we proceeded to the classification of documents with landmark cultural methodological criteria symbolic. Therefore, the emergence mark has emerged between what, in a metaphorical easy-expressive, we considered "epiphany gesture live" (Revelation corporeality in direct interaction with the environment) and "conceptual synthesis of cultural symbolic gesture" manifested in conventional gestures acts. Moreover, describing gestuality signifier-signified relationship in terms of what I explained the phenomenon of "gestural mimicry" is an effective tool of communicative actions.

Elements of structure and content are outlined at the end of chapter one, our research direction towards a possible typology of gestural signs. Here we aimed primarily at cultural gestural signs - symbolic in gestuality phenomenon.

• In chapter 2. Pleading for a methodology of semiotic analysis : general considerations , analysis gestuality as mediator between " natural signs " and systems "signs of culture " , we correlated it with virtues analytical semiotic hexade that the graph assumed that operational models description of semiosis gestures. A special chapter is devoted to possible applications of the graph semiotic discourse the gesture . The central issue at this point , is becoming gestural language in the history of human culture : an analysis of triadic - semiotics . Such analysis considers , therefore, a syntactic perspective : gesture and expression as a creative act axiological , semantic interpretation of gestuality in the context of multiculturalism and of course , a pragmatic perspective : performance gestural communication in modern society and the postmodern .

■ **Part II**, gestuality culture , a complex communication situation , describes the extensive size - defined semantic semiosis gestural communication , in two additional chapters

• In an effort to define culture as a complex situation gestuality communication , **chapter 3**. Semiosis gesture : analytical benchmarks - the graph structural semiotic treats the constituent elements of semiosis gestures , reported in : - Contextuality gestural communication ("where" and "when" becomes operational gestualitatea ?)

- Actors communicative semiosis ("who " and " whom " gestures ?) -Performance and limitations of the message reconfiguring possible "what" transmitted aesture (content ? - Post gestural communication strategies ("how " can generate deliver message aesture and а ? Here it is envisaged to identify and analyze how to configure gestuality : from body language to the language of the face, research on the role and types of channels for transmitting cultural meanings of gestures : from natural to technological impasses identify possible noise and the Communication gestures : the ratio nature - culture differences , individual -ethnic real -virtual or perversion of gestuality when exposure gestural possible phenomenon lies somewhere between promiscuity and Kitsch . -The purpose and gestural communication main theoretical points identified here are gestuality The competence innate communication or conventional interpersonal communication skill (gained through repetition and imitation behavior) gestuality culture, actual performance time - Gestuality cultural values between common sense and art criticism

In turn, **chapter 4**. Functional connections gestuality : semiotic interpretation has three lines of research: • identifying and describing the artistic creative hypostasis of body language

Possible gestuality interfaces with verbal and lastly • effects of body language and persuasive strategies paraverbal dialoque (from mutual to the media As syntactic forms of gestural communication (the common language language the arts) we have: -Dynamic gestural language (mimetic, pantomime, dance) and -Static creative language ("freezing gesture" fine arts). And the semantic meanings cultural gestuality have understood that message

-Gesture liturgical acts of worship (gestuality sacramental) - Kinetic gesture in art theatrical semiotic described as symbolic -

expressive language -Psycho τv aesture in -emotional cinema and -Gestuality communication effects gestural identify As а pragmatic act -Pleasure Principle " aesthetic " effect bio -psycho -socio - logical , and

-Effects axiological communication plan . The success or failure of an act of gestural communication , standing in stimulating dialectic inhibitor . Also semiotic study on the relationship gestualitate - verbal language has the following : -Ratio of the sound (as signifier) and gestualitate ; -The role of sound messages (as signified) in the gestuality ; -Gestualitate - language report that complementary relationship .

Part III. Implications of body language in visual culture : semiotic situations, it is, moreover, as a validation of the effectiveness of semiotic tools to describe and analyze the present gestuality visual culture In this regard, chapter 5. Case studies on paraverbal gestural language, begins with an analysis of natural language triadic based symbolic cultures, which focus on applications related syneraistic deaf-mute language namely •language pantomime with a performance analysis reference : Don Quijote de la Mancha, played by actor Dan Puric. Chapter 6. Contributions to the visual semiotics : from cinema to television end paper, wishing it to be a contribution to the visual semiotics, following the evolution of the cinema gestural sign in televiziune. Un such an approach will focus on the following methodological principles and strategies -Semiotic principles to achieve a performance art film script method semiogramei -Effective body language strategies to use in television optimization commercials hexadice method In summary, following the logic of the construction of the sentence we can sav that:

• deep structure of the paper is prepared on a tissue triadic (syntactic, semantic, pragmatic), the presence of semiotic default approach is found bv Developing the nuances of the research content elements are in subordinated virtues of analytical semiotics turn Based on these general considerations, we present briefly some of the assumptions and conclusions that unpublished research that implicitly formulates and trvina to arque First we describe some highlights of " surface structure research ." According to the theory and practice of human communication, the goal of millennia of history of socio- cultural interactions, the messages exchanged between interlocutors assume two types of information units - An analog / motivated units are distinct in itself, but involve an interpretation about the communicative context (as in indices mimetic underlvina aestural language -Type digital / unmotivated, the units can be distinguished from

each other, producing texts standalone likely to be isolated from the transmitter aimed at the development of abstractions, representations, or generalizations, unlike the index, type information digital information iconic or symbolic underlying verbal language

With reference to the dichotomy of the sign Saussurian understood that a duality of significant energy with a substantiallysignified concept, both types of information configured, in turn, two additional planes that develop in the communication of : -The content (the signified / speech) predominate analog information , semantic type . -In the form (the signifier / text) predominate type digital information processed and transmitted sensory neuronal benefit of generating meanings . On the basis of these structural and functional attributes have

been configured phylogenetically two types of language : verbal (and therefore paraverbal) and body language (music, plastic, gestural) by which human beings recognize himself and his fellow

man. In this paper we consider, in particular, the latter type of language, that of the body, which, we analyze the semiotic, in its state unique nonverbal gestural language. Being mostly likely clues, body language consists of the entire set of stimuli and transmitted through physiognomy. signals posture. facial expressions, gestures, look, color or distance. These, together with the tone, pace and frequency of voice in terms of interpersonal relationships, which transmit Bougnoux Daniel, in his Introduction to communication sciences, called " information information about During our study on the genesis and definition of body language I followed semiotic theories of Roland Barthes and Umberto Eco 's theory which , in some ways the same. Roland Barthes I remember in particular especially in the first part of the research. the relationship between gesture and treat problem significance. in terms of semiological analysis type . Regarding Umberto Eco's semiotic theory, I made reference in the second part of the study, where we considered the definition gestuality own system of signs. In particular, we have made direct reference to Eco's theories when I undertook a brief classification of gestures, followed by an interpretation of them, counting this problem typology of signs In the final chapter, we watched just problematic gesture as a cultural phenomenon. I have in mind here , a cultural interpretation of the gesture as an expression axiological and creative act, the issue re -interpretation in the context assertion gestuality multicultural phenomenon, and finally, the performance of gestural communication in the modern and post- modern . These are , in fact, applications of semiotic approach on describing the current state of developments in the relatively recent gestural language of culture and human civilization. Considering the five areas of social security, the semiotics gestuality is may apply : fiolosofia / logic and linguistics, on the one hand, psychology, social psychology and sociology, communication science, on the other hand, we DESC following possible relations between the sign and referential gesture that is assigned:

osign gesture and logical-philosophical inferences order The chain opened Semio - logic Peirce, Umberto Eco translates logical inference processes in terms of semiotics, considering that:

-If the deduction , the premise is " sure sign " of the conclusion , as it contains analytical ; -For induction can be identified with the interpretation of a symptom (an individual case) , whose series (many subsequent confirmation) may lead to the establishment of a code able to retrospectively validate the inference that symptomatic left. Such issues generally drawn from a thorough philosophy of language - such as researching multiple aspects of Ontos and logos (sign, word , text) - are the focus of a logical- philosophical semiotics

osian gesture and its role in non-verbal communication Association with a non-verbal sign system for interpersonal communication is one of the oldest insights of philosophical thinking - language . As semiotic system , language is a set of appropriate signs gestural communication used by agents . Within this system, the sign gesture fulfills a double function : -Is an act (Object phenomenon), also other naturally occurring perceived such agents phenomena as bv -Is an act (semiotic phenomenon) understood and used by human agents as a means of signifying the other acts / phenomena of the external world, on the one hand, and himself. on the other hand ogestural mark : perceptual process and show noticeable Trying to express perceptual processes in terms of quality of semiotics is the sign just about to send the object that generated it. In this case, the concept can be found peirceiană abduction is defined as the hypothetical inference on the basis of assumptions built unreliable, such as, for example, a perceptual experience. Undifferentiated perceptual stimuli are signs, based on schemas

with role semiotic codes. According to Wittgenstein or Eco, these schemes can be identified with an "assumption " of the world in terms of non-verbal language (in our case) and a " cutout " linguistic reality. The asemnea sign gesture can be defined as the relationship of reference made by an event perceived as resulting from the Augustinian definition " sign is a thing apart from the species perceived by senses, draws itself into thinking another thing ". Under this definition, intentional states (perceptions, desires, beliefs, aspirations, affections, etc.) should not be considered as evidence. But, in a larger sense, though we peirceiană idea that a sign is an "event x in lieu of an event y', we define as signs and results of our intentional processes, but with the following observation:

-Manufacturer knows their significance, even when they do not sianifiers manifest perceptible sians () -Their receiver needs to be able to take them both a semiotic code identical (or close) at the issuer and the perceptible expression of their verbal or non verbal). The concept of morrisiană released behavioral paradigms physiology, it is the reference for this type of communication, it is understood that the sign with respect to stimulus preparatory to another object that is not at the time of the stimulus is triggered by behavior. particular а For example , the relation " thirst " (instinct to drink water) - ' advertisement for a brand of beer "-" satisfying momentum, Charles Morris " sign "that it presents is currently stimulus orientation phase senses of action and perceived remoteness (water, beer) as " denote " is considered the object that satisfies momentum (beer brand). What matters in the semiotics of Morris is not explanations functional relationships and content. We're talking about a psycho- sociology of communication gestures. osian aesture aesture intentionality and The dispute over the question requires action (semiosis) to require " intent to refer " (the presence of a human issuer) or can be, and the result of a " natural transmissions ," mobilizes not only the more " attention semiotics " of a receiver human ? , resulted in conflicting answers:

• AJ Greimas and J. Deely postulates the possibility of building a semiotics of the " natural world ", a " fiziosemioze 'shown in level of a "virtual signifying " the human subject can be absent (nepresupunând necessarily); semiosis а specific intent •The opposite position is placed semioticians , and Serge Shishkoff or Eric Buyssens who believes that communication of intent, namely the attention presumed act of perception is essential to define a semiotic situations , in the absence of any communication can not speak nor sians: •A third group of researchers, including Charles Morris and Umberto Eco occupies a mediating position, considering that the sign is "something which - based on social conventions - takes the place of something else " and that semiosis can be deprived of " level intentional " communication (as in the case of natural signs, for example), assuming necessarily an " attentional level " conscious control. Such understanding is possible because something is a sign only because of his performance as a sign of else something by an interpreter. Functionality signs in social life - explicitly pursued by Saussure is developed by Roland Barthes, according to which, " the company any use of this mark is converted to use ", which raises the question of borders - where artifacts - of signs and signs intentionally there is only the interpretation. However, such a distinction between " intentional signs " and " attentional signs " must take into account that if attentional sign it is produced only when issuing a " virtual token " which will become " real sign " only time of its reception, while for intentional sign, producing physical phenomena (issuing and transmitting sign) is already equivalent communicative to act. Therefore, information signals are organized into systems in complex vibration associated by the rules of organizing and ordering the natural code of the physical existence involving all the

physical phenomena of the same type of code that artificially imposed on the human knowledge widely established conventions . No matter what level would occur, the information included in a gestural act is a relational dimension, the function is operated only in a situation of informational data (in the interaction between material systems). In other words, the presence of gestural information generates specific semiotic situations . involving both virtual communication situations (scale nature. the sensations , perceptions. representations) and real communication situations (on the human scale in relation issuer and receiver). Gesture signifying capacity information resulting thus in possibility gesture interfering with other gestural actions of the same type (a gesture with his hand as a sign of welcome. interfering with a similar gesture in the direction of said hello, but different type, for example, a gesture of gaze extent interfere with the hand gesture, or embrace to shake hands as a sign of aratitude. respect. or to express • can increase or decrease the degree of structure / organization of the issuer and receiver, following structural effects or deconstructed the expression coherence (resonance) in the uptake and assimilation gestures, or, conversely, failure report aestural interference A situation destural communication is established, therefore, from the empirical expression gestures, namely, the external of communication that intention is realized form

The effects of gestural communication order , the resonator system (issuer -receptor relationship) are due , therefore , act alike informational gestures signified (mental idea embodied in gesture) and signifier material - energy (energy-physical support that gestures act occurs "movement of hands ", " waving hair ", " flashing eyes ", " stretching the legs ," etc.) . Moreover , for transmission to any significant default "gestuality " requires a specific substrate carrier energy (interactive substantial support) and transmitted information , that a message with , or without meaning.

The definition of information as a reflection (an "unintentional semiosis ") reveals that the information can only occur if the reflectance award system (code receiver) is compatible (coherent / resonant) with the same transmitter system (code reflected vibrations of complex information). Therefore, information dyad faces two ways: - Virtual sign informing potential about a certain state of reality, the potential ability to excite a specific receptor, as long as it occurs only as potentiality, the information is in excellent structural dimension.

- A real sign, the quality achieved when - unhooking the reference generators to engage in semiosis, communication - information the signal is captured by a particular receptor, making sign that something else is in place to(reflected reality) and means (information content) for "someone" (receptor system, either natural, human or artificial) when it gets real information expresses its functional virtues, helping to structure the world under organizational bearings unique laws. Given the principle of transparency in the report sensulacestuia gestural sign respectively: -Transparency sign (understood as significant) compared with signified the meaning and -Transparency of the sign (the signifier-signified dual entity) in relation to referential, pragmatic quality of the sign gesture in the communication process resulting from its suitability to the receiver, ie:

• possibility signifier reception by the receiver (the intensity and clarity of the message should be sufficiently high to raise the receiver);

possibility of perception and understanding signified by the recipient, using code aceluaşi signs (common language) proficiency level semantic integration of other, logical consistency of the message sent and so on;
 interest on them by the reception , the attention elicitation

thresholdforsuccessfulreception;•possibility of effective use by the receiver of the message it signsincludeetc.

Thus, an effective pragmatic Dvina gestural communication only when , building the message, the receiver constantly thinking issuer . Otherwise , it would be a " dialogue of the deaf " , a " wooden language " without beneficial results in terms of intercommunication and inter-subjective understanding. In summary , one can say that the key objectives of the paper are the following:

to describe through semiotic analysis method, the manner in which the gestural language evolution from simple signs of nature (systems of sensations, perceptions, representations, signals, etc.), complex systems of cultural signs;
to define cultural phenomenon as a system of signs and gestures and to specify that it would be culture specific gestures;
apply semiotic analysis methods in sign language, such as:

-Situational

-Hexadice optimization method (applied semiotics hexada gestuality)

analysis.

The graph semiotic analytical method,
 Method semiogramei (cinematic)
 The role of the (place) horizon gesture global culture of human culture:

 to identify possible performance / failures of communication in the modern and contemporary gestures to specify any perversion of gestuality in contemporary culture. Semiotic analysis of phenomena pseudoculturale (located on the border between promiscuity and kitsch) would act as a remedy interpretative analytical as the intellectual process of correcting and preventing errors.
 to emerge gestuality cultural values (from common sense in art

criticism) to express actually gestuality way to objectification and conceptualization;

• to mention the main types of body language : dynamic body

language : mimetic , dance , pantomime , creative body language static ie "freezing " gesture in sculpture or fine art, from the body language of ritual , sacramental signs gestuality of stage gesture kinetic theater art as symbolic language expressive gesture -emotional cinematic psycho etc. • to analyze the relationship between gestualitate and verbal communication: language in human gestural language to specific implications in visual culture, the role gesticulării in film, television, TV commercials, media. This brief overview of some of the aspects of depth and area of research has а double meaning: -Justify that the relationship between the parts " language - object" of research - and " language " (gesture) "system of cultural signs " on the one hand - and the presence of semiotics as Organon with intimately linked the universe analytical philosophy. Furthermore, the present research is implicit socio -human subject generally that of the philosophy of culture and social philosophy, in particular:

-Act as an overview of the intentions and aspirations which this thesis aims to accomplish during its development.

CONCLUSIONS FUTURE OPENINGS OF GESTURAL LANGUAGE

Beyond being utopian or surrealist, the conclusion of this paper is that body language is not at all a language understood only from the perspective of the future development of advanced communication technologies . For now, they are only interfaces beinas needed for communication and human networking, intermediate (real or virtual) between information and physical world on the one hand, self-conscious entities and objects the other smart super

1.Opportunities and limitations In a world increasingly dependent on information, knowledge and understanding body language will be an increasing need of humanity. Beyond the danger of ultra - technics awareness of media and information - a possible form would be a world super - targeted electronic orweliene like a dystopia in which people could be transformed into mere " tools " very easy to handle by one in the socio -political system globally standardizing - gestuality understanding and knowledge as a system of cultural crucial. sians is Using computer shows that it is possible to analyze the signal originating figurative images (static or dynamic), but the signal was really articulated by combining existing discrete entities . In fact, these entities are difficult to identify, as long as the original signal was comprised a continuous arrangement of materials aesture "dense" The replicability of the computers or other devices not directly affected by the code governing signs replicated. It is rather a matter of technical codes governing the transmission of information (a process signal signal), important problem in

engineering.

communication

Hoping that human education through culture inevitably lead to progress on the human plane, we believe that technical progress does not deny it and do it well ahead of the spiritual -ethical. cultural, conversely, is a fully positive momentum accelerate the enlightenment of human process Recovering original meaning of the term techne, whose positive creative action was lost over time, with the idea of radical reporting progress causes us to tap gestuality cultural dimension, which should become a priority for the future. Otherwise, the is imminent alobal uniformity danger Moreover, to detect and remove any perversion phenomena gestuality cultural significance, as it happens, unfortunately, knowingly in certain media channels, or in some advertisements, it is necessary to assume a viable strategy. Such a strategy optimal solutions to consider cultural devaluation crisis gestuality semiotic analysis method is What I plan to do the paper would just avoid transforming gesture communication in a purely mechanical act, devoid of any emotional intent or motivation only determined by economic principles impersonal non humanistic An extreme form of perversion gestuality cultural significance such as the gesture tends to be an act of promiscuity, kitsch or a " parody " of the human symbolic when a devaluation of spiritual concern. On the other hand . non - verbal interaction study experienced a considerable boom in utlimii both the theoretical and applicative (pragmatic communicative interactions, inter cultural communication . contrastive pragmatics , cross- cultural, etc.

Moreover, the subject of semiotics gesture is the "communicative exchange " or complex communicative behaviors, including with proper linguistic, para- verbal mastery (rhythm, intonation, rate, volume), a socio-cultural norms address, coumunicative, in a word, linguistic and socio-cultural knowledge, no - doubt, relying on inter - networking side. Globalization, moreover, generates multiple ineter - ethnic contacts in which general - semiotic competence becomes a sine qua non of effective communication and communicative ignoring these fundamental postulates lead to blocking communication between individuals.

2 The sineray of gestuality. a communicative power tool It is necessary, therefore, to adopt a cross-cultural perspective to identify dysfunctions and correlated to optimize communication. Internalization of cultural differences but does not , universalist illusion of communication (individuals fade communicate about the same). You, however, to emphasize the variety of social meanings in relation to the universality of emotions.

In this perspective, analyzing the delimitation nature / culture area gestuality, American anthropologist Weston La Barre followina review the types gestures of -Natural gestures (laughing, crying, yawning, scratching) -Social gestures (for approval, calling, greeting , denial, confirmation etc. -Stylized gestures driving behavior (ballet , pantomime choreography. script

Certainly there is now a thorough application of methods and concepts of semiotics in understanding and explaining the nature of various social and human sciences, including the interpretation of culture and sign language. In this approach, semiotics / semiology can be considered a transdisciplină whose purpose is to detect signs of the relationships vast areas and then analyze them as signs.

As social science characterized by the attempt to apply objective methods of qualitative and quantitative insights into linguistic evidence, information theory and mathematical logic, but also in behavioral psychology, sociology and educational sciences, semiotics proposes uniquely analysis methods using which it is hoped even achieve the ideal of rigor generally attributed sciences. Culture, for example, to explain the phenomena of partial subsequently reached through semiotics to interpret increasingly unified global cultural system, interpretation generally called semiotic theorv of culture. Since gesture can be defined and analyzed as a specific cultural phenomenon, we also believe that semiotics, the science of decoding and interpreting signs is presented as a methodology exemplary effective communication within the gestural language. A critical analysis of the semiotic theory of culture, put otherwise, for discussions and theoretical legitimacy regarding expansion teremnului the "sign " of the narrow context of natural and artificial languages, the broader field of human behavior and products in the human creation Since there are two extreme theories on the interpretation of the world of signs, who argues that all cultural forms can be interpreted as signs, and a more moderate who believes that signs can be noticeable only in certain areas of human behavior and spiritual creations in general, our position will be in accordance with the second position. A combatant of pansemiotismului, or more accurately, the panlingvismului, Claude Levi -Strauss is . Working hypothesis is based precisely on the idea that "the mythical thinking, the whole ritual consist of a sensitive organization experience in a semantic system ." This idea is added and the basic design of its structural anthropology, namely reconsideration kinship system as being analogous to language . Lévi -Strauss makes , however , the assumption that between language structure and kinship system that there is a formal correspondence, in other words, different ways of any communication, rules of marriage, baptism, funeral, etc., on the one hand, and language on the other hand, can be rigidly connected to the unconscious like If such a hypothesis could be tested, Strauss's opinion, we conclude that it would be open the way to understanding some fundamental analogies between the events of life in society, apparently heterogeneous, such as language, art, religion, justice, which would result obviously a win for structural analysis and compared the habits and behaviors of various groups and social institutions. Globalization contemporary society, moreover, it is observed that generates multiple inter - ethnic contact, the general - semiotic competence becomes a sine qua non of effective communication.

Also , discussing the delicate nature - culture boundary area gestuality , American psychiatrist and anthropologist Weston La Barre Raoul , especially in Animal and Human lucrărileThe Ghost Dance: The Origins of Religion , review gestures so "natural" (laughing, crying) and social gestures 'conventional' (approval, calling, greeting, denial), and driving behaviors stylized (ballet pantomime)

His conclusion diversity non-verbal communication consists society " on the one hand , the same gestures that have many meanings (greeting , approval, disapproval) , but this can vary depending on the culture ..." Therefore, in agreement with the theories mentioned authors believe that because the assault iconicității , knowledge and proper use of signs , along with verbal communication , inevitably become a sine qua non of effective communication , optimization of image capital and it builds each personality separately.

REFERENCES

1. Main References

Aitken, S., M. Buultjens, C. Clark, J. T. Eyre & L. Pease (Eds.), Understanding deafblindness. Teaching children who are deafblind: Contact, communication and learning, David Fulton, London, 2002

Andersen, Peter, *Body Language*, Translation Liana Stan Teora, Bucharest, 2007

Barthes, Roland, *Essais semiology*, Gonthier Meditations, Paris, 1965

Barthes, Roland, *Empire of Signs* Collection "The Paths of Creation", Geneva, 1970

Birkenbihl, Vera, F., *Signals the body*. How to understand body language, Gemma Pres, Bucharest, 1999

Birdwhistell, Ray. L, *Kinesics and Context*, University of Pennsylvania Press, Philadelphia, 1970

Borg, James, *Body language*, All Educational Publishing, Bucharest, 2010

Bremer, J., Roodenburg. H, *A cultural history of gesture*, translated by Tatiana Habakkuk, Polimark Publishing, Bucharest, 2000

Calbris, Genevieve *Gestural expression of the thought of a politician*, CNRS Editions, Paris, 2003

Chelcea. S., Ivan, L., Chelcea, A. *Nonverbal communication: gestures and posture. Words are not enough*, Comunicare.ro Publishing, Bucharest, 2004

Chelcea, Septimius (ed.), *Nonverbal communication in the public* Triton Publishing, Bucharest, 2004

Codoban, Aurel, semiological structure of structuralism, Dacia

Publishing House, Cluj-Napoca, 1984

Codoban, Aurel, sign and interpretation. An introduction to postmodern semiology and hemeneutics, Dacia Publishing House, Cluj-Napoca, 2001

Codoban, Aurel, *Communication builds reality*, Idea Publishing House, Cluj-Napoca, 2009

Cojocaru, Maria, Language and suggestion: a semiotic connection, Alexandru Ioan Cuza University, Iasi, 2007

Collet, Peter, *Book Gestures*, translation Alexandra Bors, Three Publishing, Bucharest, 2005

Deely, John, *Fundamentals of semiotics*, translation Marian Net, All Publishing House, Bucharest, 1997.

Desmond, Morris, *The language of gestures*, Editura Calmann-Lévy, Paris, France, 1997

Dimon, Theodore Jr. and Ed D Brown, David G., *The Body in Motion: Its Evolution and Design*, 2011

Eco, Umberto, *The absent structure. Introduction to semiotics research,* Mercurie Edition France, Paris, 1972

Eco, Umberto, *Treaty of general semiotics*, trans. Caesar Giurescu Anca Radu, Scientific and Encyclopedic Publishing House, Bucharest, 1982

Eco, Umberto, *A Theory of Semiotics*, Translation Cezar Radu Costin Popescu, Three Publishing, Bucharest, 2008

Efron, David, *Gesture and Environment*, King's Crown Press, Oxford, 1941

Ekman, Paul,, Emotions turned up. How to read the emotions human face, Three Publishing, Bucharest, 2009

Ekman, Paul, *Emotions Revealead: Recognizing and Feelings* to Improve Communication Face and Emotional Life (2 - end editions) On owel Book, Henry Holt and Company, New York, 2003

Ewing, William A., *The Body: Photographs of the Human Form,* 1994

Goffman, Erving, *Everyday life that show communication* Publishing House, Bucharest, 2003 Greimas, A. J., Short, J., Semiotics. *Dictionary of language theory*, Hachette Editions, Paris, 1979

Greimas, A. J., *Structural semantics*, Larousse, Paris, 1966 Guy, Cabana , *Attention, gestures betray you !*, Trans. Natalia Cernăuteanu , Humanitas , Bucharest, 2008

Halprin , Daria , *The Expressive Body in Life, Art, and Therapy : Working with Movement , Metaphor and Meaning*, 2008

Hjelmslev, T., *Theory of a Prolegomena the language translated from Danish, following the foundation of language structure,* coll. Argument Edition Minuit, Paris, 1984

Kendom, Adam, *Gesture : Visible Action as utterance*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004

Kenneth, George M., Showing Signs of Violence : The Cultural Politics of a Twentieth - Century Headhunting Ritual. Berkeley : University of California Press, California, 1996

Kernbach, Victor, *Myths essential* Albatros Publishing House, Bucharest, 1987

Kerbart - Orecchioni, Catherine, *Verbal Interactions*, vol III, Editions Armand Colin, Paris, 1991

Klinkenberg , Jean -Marie , *Introduction to general semiotics*, translation Mireşanu Mariana Ionescu, Publisher European Institute , Iasi, 2004

Lee, Bruce, Little John, *The Art of Expressing the Human Body*, 1998

Leroi - Gourhan , André *, gesture and word* , volume 1 , translated by Maria storks, Meridian Publishing , Bucharest, 1972

Lévi - Strauss, Claude, *Structural Anthropology*, J. Pecher, Publishing, Bucharest, 1978

Lotman, IM, *Culture and Explosion*, translated by George Ghetu and Justina Bandol Publisher Parallel 45, Pitesti, 2004

Lucaci Florea, *Biblical phrases . Logical and philosophical interpretations*. Eikon Publishing House, Cluj- Napoca, 2005

Luhan, Mark Marshall, Understanding Media. The Extensions of Man, McGraw Hill Publishing, New York, 1964 Maignneau, Danie, *Gene of Discourses* Pierre Mardaga (Editor), Brussels, 1984.

Maxim, Sorin Tudor, *Political Science*, University of Suceava, 2000.

Marcus, Solomon (eds.), *Meaning and communication in the contemporary world*, Publishing, Bucharest, 1985

Messinger , Martin , *Interpreting gestures . How to decipher body language* , Letter Publishing , Bucharest, 2010

Messinger, Joseph, *gestures that will change your life. Gain confidence and self-esteem*, Letter Publishing, Bucharest, 2012

Mori, Gioia, *De Chirico metafisico*, Giunti, Firenze, 2007 Morris, Charles, *Fundamentals of the theory of signs*,

Publishing Foundation for European Studies , Cluj- Napoca, 2003 Nica Marchian , *TV advertising as a way of promoting a*

technological process, Publisher: ceramic, Iaşi, 2010

Odile Le Guern, Hugues de Chanay (eds. ed.) *Signs of the body, body sign*, ed., L 'Harmattan, 2009

Pease , Allan, *Body Language* , trans. Alexander Szabo, Polimark Publishing , Bucharest, 1997

Pease , Barbara and Allan , *The Definitive Book of Body Language*, 2006

Peirce, Charles S., *Meaning and action anthology conducted* by Delia Marga Andrei Marga, Humanitas, Bucharest, 1990

Peirce, Charles Sanders, *The Basis of Pragmaticism in "The Collected Papers "*, vol 1, New York, 1963

Peirce , Charles Sanders , *Selected Writings*, Dover Publications, New York , 1966

Peter , A. Anderson , *The Complete Idiot 's Guide to Body Language*, Penguin , New York , 2004

Petrescu- Măgăriţoiu, Alina, Semiotics gesture in teaching communication, University "Alexandru Ioan Cuza", Iaşi, 2008

Ruesca, Jurgen, Kees, Weldon, Nonverbal communication: Notes on the Visual Perception of Human Relations, University of California Press, California, 1972

Saussure , Ferdinand de , Course in General Linguistics

discourses, Paris : Payot, 1949

Sebeok , Thomas Albert , *Signs - an introduction to semiotics*, translation Sorin Marculescu , Humanitas , Bucharest, 2002

Serres, Michel, *The Five Senses : A Philosophy of mingled Bodies*, Bloomsbury Academic, Sydney, 2009

Stănciulescu Traian D. (eds.), Vitalie Belous, Ioan Moraru, *Philosophy of creation* Publishing performance Iași, 1998

Stănciulescu Traian D., Introduction to the philosophy of human creation Junimea Publishing House, Iași, 1999

Stănciulescu Traian D., *In the beginning was the sign: a new introduction to semiotics*, Performantica Publishing House, Iași, 2004

Stănciulescu Traian D., *Myths of creation : readings semiotic* Performantica Publishing House, Iași, 2005

Stănciulescu Traian D., *Semiotics of Light : an integrative approach to human archetypal roots*, second edition, crystal - Concept & World Development Organization, Iași, 2005

Stanciulescu Traian D., Foundations of value . Archetypes of the Romanian spirit Publishing performance lasi, 2006

Stănciulescu Traian D., Semiotics love : introduction to science communion Performantica Publishing House, Iași, 2007

Şoitu , Lawrence, *audio- visual rhetoric* , Chronicle Publishing House , Iaşi, 1993

Taylor, EB, *Primitive Culture: Researches into the development of mythology*, *philosophy*, *religion*, *art and custom*, Volume II, John Murray (ed.), London, 1871.

Quilliam , Susan , *Mysteries of body language* , Polimark Publishing House , Bucharest, 2001

Wald, Lucia, *Human communication systems*, Scientific and Encyclopedic Publishing House, Bucharest, 1973

Weston La Barre Raoul, *Ghost Dance: The Origins of Religion*, Waveland Press, Illinois, 1990

Weston La Barre, *Culture in Context*, Selected Writings, Duke UP, Durham, NC, 1990

Winkin, Yves, Anthropologie of communication. From

Theorem have terrain, De Boeck Université, Paris, Brussels, 1996

2.Secondary References

Augustine, *Confessions*, translated by Eugen Munteanu, Nemira, Bucharest, 2006

Abric , JC , *Psychology of communication: theory and methods*, translation Botoşineanu L. , F. , Polirom , Iasi, 2002

Baylon, Christian & *Mignot*, Xavier, *Communication*, Editions Nathan, coll FAC Linguistique, Paris, 1991.

Baudrillard, Jean, *Simulacra and Simulation*, Editions Galilee, Paris, 1981

Baudrillard, Jean, *The system of objects*, Gallimard, Paris, 1968 *** *Bible or Holy Scripture*, Bible Institute and Mission of the ROC, Bucharest, 1988

Bochensky , JM , The Methods of Contemporary *tought* , D. Reidel , Dordreht 1965

Bougnoux , Daniel , *Introduction to Communication Studies* , translated by Violeta Vintilescu Polirom , Iasi, 2000

Bougnoux, D., *Science of information and communication*, Tessential texts, Larousse, Paris, 1993

Caraion Pompiliu, *Genesis sacred*, Scientific and Encyclopedic Publishing House, Bucharest, 1967

Carpov, Maria , *Collection of signs*, Eminescu Publishing House , Bucharest, 1987

Carpov, Maria, *Va text*, *beyond the text*, University "Alexandru Ioan Cuza", Iaşi, 1999

Chailley , Jacques , *40,000 years of music Composers Union* Music Publishing , Bucharest, 1967

Connor, Steven, Postmodern culture. An introduction to contemporary theories, Meridian Publishing, Bucharest, 1999

Corn, Georgeta, *Communication and signification*. *Attributes mimic the paradigm physiognomy*, University of North Baia Mare, 2006

Corti, Maria, *Principles of literary communication*, Univers, Bucharest, 1981

Croussly, Guy, *The Audio-Visual communication to 6 questions*, *23 examples*, *160 Exercises*, *51 tips*, Editions Organizing, Paris, 1990

Danow, David, "Text and Subtext "Semiotics, editor John Deely, MD University Press of America, Lanshi 1987

Darwin, Charles, *Expression of the Emotions of Man and Animals*, John Murray, London, 1904

Davitz , Joll R. (eds.) , *The Comunication of Emotional Meaning* , New York , 1964

Dospinescu, Basil, Signs and knowledge in scholarly discourse, Junimea Publishing House, Iaşi, 1998

Duck , Steve , Interpersonal relations To think , to feel , to interact Polirom, Iasi , 2000

Dumas, Felicia, *Gesture and expression in the Orthodox liturgy*, Publisher European Institute, Iasi, 2000

Dupin , Jacques , *Joan Miró Life and Work* , Harry N. Abrams (ed.) , Inc. . Publisher, New York , 1962

Elias , Norbert C , *Civilizin process* vol 2 . Translation Monica - Maria Aldea , Polirom , Iasi, 2002

Erasmus of Rotterdam, *Desiderius*, *praise praise of folly or stupidity discourse on translation*, Robert Adam, Header Publishing, Bucharest, 1995

Fabun, Don, *Communications : The Transfer of Meaning , International Society for General Semiotics*, San Francisco, 1987

Faure, Élie, *Art History . Ancient Art*, Volume 1. Meridians Publishing, Bucharest, 1970

Focillon , Henri , *Life Forms* , Meridian Publishing , Bucharest, 1977

Frenkian, Aram, *Philosophical Writings*, Volume 1, Scientific and Encyclopedic Publishing House, Bucharest, 1988

Gelasius, George, *Mystery parenthood*, vol 8, Platytera Publishing, Bucharest, 2012

Gelasius, George, Hesychasm. Dialogue in absolute Axis

Mundi Publishing , Bucharest, 1992

Gilbert, KE, Kuhn, H., *History of aesthetics*, Meridian Publishing, Bucharest, 1972

Ghiţescu, George, *Artistic anatomy*. *Vol III*. *Morphology art*. *Expression*, Meridians Publishing, Bucharest, 1965

Ginneken, van Jacques, *Typological reconstruction of archaic languages of humanity*, Amsterdam, 1939Goffman, Erving, Interaction Ritual, Doubleday, New York, 1967

Godefroy, Christian, *The menthal dynamics*, Robert Laffont, Paris, 1976

Hartley, Marty, *body language at work*, Polirom, Iasi, 2005 Hobbes, Thomas, *The Leviathan*, Publishing Prometheus Books, New York, 1988

John, Peter, *Education and creation in the perspective of a "situational logic*", Didactic and Pedagogic, Bucharest, 1995

James, William, *The Principles of Psychology (1890), vol 1*, with introduction by George A. Miller, Harvard University Press, 1983

Jean Marcel Arpad Mezei *History of Surrealist Painting* Editions Du Seuil, Paris, 1959

Josien Michel, *Transactional Analysis, Publishing Organizing, Paris, 1994Larousse , Grand Dictionary of psychology*, translated by Aliza Ardeleanu , Sabina DORNEANU , Nicholas Balta , Alexandra Bors Matthew Georgescu, Nicholas Dumitraşcu , Diana Lupu, Genoveva Teleki , Irina Hillerin Rodica Chiriacescu Publishing Three , Bucharest, 2006

Levinas, Emmanuel, *Totality and infinity*, translation Marius Lazurca Polirom, Iasi, 1999

Lloyd -Elliott , Martin , *Book of erotic gestures* Publishing Three , Bucharest, 2006

Lupasco, Stéphane, *a contradictory dynamic logic* Publishing, Bucharest, 1982

Mauss , Marcel , *Essay on gift,* Silvia translation Lupescu Polirom, Iasi , 1997

Matthew, Dumitru, Art's Origins Meridians Publishing,

Bucharest, 1981

Memelis, Gabriel, Abba Gelasius, *Iconographer divine love*, Platytera Publishing House, Bucharest, 2004

Mette Bryld, Jacques Caron, Svend Erik Larsen, Nina Lykke Nielsen Kayser (Eds.), *Bodyscapes . Body and Discourse*, Odense University Press, 1995

Mifflin , Margot , Bodies of Subversion : A Secret History of Women and Tattoo, 3rd Edition , 2013

Mignon, Armand, *Origins from scholastic and of St Victor Hugh.*, Lethielleux Publishing, Paris, 1896

Mişcol, O., *Culture and Communication*, Oscar Print, Bucharest, 2000

Morin , Edgar , *Method 3. The knowledge of knowledge* , Seuil , Paris , 1986

Nicolescu, Basarab, *Transdisciplinarity : Manifesto*, translated from French by Horia Mihail Vasilescu, Junimea Publishing House, Iaşi, 2007

Noble , Marty , *Body Art: Tattoo Designs Coloring Book*, Dover Design Coloring Books , 2012

Plato, *Cratylos* in : *Works*, *Volume 3*, Scientific and Encyclopedic Publishing House, Bucharest, 1983

Popa, Julia, *linguistic creativity in semiological approach*, Alexandru Ioan Cuza University, Iasi, 2008

Radu- Durac, Livia, *Semiotics nonverbal language in parent - adolescent relationship*, University Alexandru - Ioan Cuza, Iasi, 2008

Ricoeur , Paul, *Conflict interpretation*, translation Horia Lazarus Equinox Publishing House , Cluj- Napoca, 1999

Rosca, Al., *Individual and group creativity*, Academy Publishing House, Bucharest, 1979

Rovența - Frumuşani , Daniel , *Semiotics scientific discourse* , Scientific Publishing House , Bucharest, 1995

Rovența - Frumuşani , Daniel , *Semiotics , Society, Culture*, European Institute Publishing House , Iași, 1999

Spark, Mihaela, Introduction to Semiotics, Ed Pygmalion,

Pitesti, 1996

Steinhardt, Nicholas, *Giving you will get* Publishing Monastery Rohia Rohia 2006

Todorov, Tzvetan, *The Conquest of America*, translated by Magda Jeanrenaud, European Institute, Iasi, 1994

Urseanu, T.; Ianegic, I., Ionescu, L., *The history of ballet*, the Union of Composers Music Publishing, Bucharest, 1967

Quintilianus, Marcus Fabius, *Institutes of Oratory*. Trans. H. E. Butler . Loeb Classical Library, Cambridge : Harvard University Press, 1920

Wittgenstein , Ludwig , *Tractatus logico - philosophicus* , translation and Mircea Dumitru Mircea Flonta , Humanitas , Bucharest, 2001

Wittgenstein, Ludwig, *Philosophical Research*, translation and Mircea Mircea Dumitru Flonta, Humanitas, Bucharest, 2003

Zumthor, Paul, *Introduction in the oral poetry*, Edition Seuil, Paris, 1983

3. Articles and Studies

Armstrong, D., S. Wilcox, "Origins of Signed Languages", Marschark, M., Spencer PE (Eds.): The Oxford Handbook of Deaf Studies, Language and Education, Oxford Univesity Press, New York, 2003

Barthes, Roland, "Elements of Semiology . Semiological recherches" Communication, no. 4, Seuil, Paris, 1964

Barthes, Roland, "*Rhetoric of the image*" in Communications nr.4/1964 (trans. Art no. 7/1975)

Beams, JB, Pour l' étude du discours aborder Quotidien in " Langue française ", no. 50, Paris, 1990

Birdwhistell, Ray, *Kinesics and Communication*, in Carpenter, E. Mc Luhan (eds) *Exploration in Communication*, Beacon Press, Boston, 1960

Bosworth , RG & Emmorey , K. , " Effects of iconicity and

semantic relatedness on lexical access in American Sign Language ." Journal of Experimental Psychology : Learning, Memory , and Cognition , Vol 36 (6) , November 2010

Brassac, Ch, The communicative interaction between intersubjectivity and interobjectivity". languages 144, pp. 39-57, 2001

Brezianu , Andrew, "*America the two cultures*" in newspaper publication. Twentieth century , no. 7-8-9 , 1999

Calen, Ioana, "Obama cult of personality in art " in newspaper publication, no. of 23 January 2009

Chomsky, N., " *Three factors in language design*", in Linguistic Inquiry, no. 36, Issue 1-22, 2005

Cushing , FA, "Manual Concepts : A Study of the Influence of handusage on crop growth", American anthropologist, Volume A5, Issue 4, October 1892

Ekman, Paul, Friesen, W., "*Constants across cultures in the face and emotions*" in the Journal of Personality and Social Psyhology, no. 17, pp.124-129, New York, 1971

Kendon , Adam , "*Some uses of gesture* " in D. Tannen & M. Saville - troika (editors), Perspectives on silence, Norwood, NJ : Albex Publishing Corporation, 1985

Krauss, R. M, Morrel - Samuels, Colas, PC, "Do conversational gestures communicated ?" In Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, no. 61, pp. 743-754, Cambridge University Press, New York, 1991

Lotman, Yuri . M., "Universe of the mind : a semiotic theory of culture" (Translated by A. Shukman), London & New York : IB Tauris & Co. Ltd., 1990

Lotman , Yuri . M., "A semiosfere ". Sign Systems Studies (Trudy po znakovym Systems), vol 17 , pp. 5-23 , 1984 Maritain , Jacques , "Language and the Theory of Sign " in Language : An Enquiry into Its Meaning Function , Harper & Bros., New York , 1957

Măgureanu Ana, Interactional linguistics. Interview with Catherine Kerbrat - Orecchioni "in " cultural observer ", Nr. 630, Bucharest, June 2012

McNeill, D., JL Mey (Ed.), "Gesture and Communication", in Concise Encyclopedia of Florentin Remus, pp. 299-307, Elsevier. Oxford 2006

Nica, Gheorghe, Nica, Marchian, RO 00110149 Patent laminated composite materials

Nica , Gheorghe, Nica , Marchian , RO 00118679 Patent Lighting systems

Nica, Gheorghe, Nica, Marchian, RO 00111944 Patent Composition for carburizing cast

Nica, Gheorghe, Nica, Marchian, Patent GB 117464B, EN 83658, JP 2001179425 Exothermic process and system for obtaining ferrous alloys

Nica Marchian in newspaper publication. Science and Technology, No.6, Bucharest, 1996, p 13

Nica Marchian, *Creativity in TV advertising to promote industrial technology inventions*, newspaper publication no. 83, Iasi, 2013

Nöth , W., Hanbook of Semiotcs , Academic Press, New York, 1990

Preece, R. J, Gray D. M and K. de Zeeuw, "Spencer Tunick + Greenpeace = Publicity Extraordinaire (2007) "Art Design Publicity, May 30, 2010

Rime, B. Schiaratura, L., "Gesture and Speeach" in RS Feldman & B. Rime (Eds.) Fundamentals of Nonverbal Behavior in pp. 239-281, Cambridge University Press, New York, 1991

Safta, Alex, *Psychology and the philosophy of feelings in music*", Science and Technology ", Year LXI, no. 23, Bucharest, March 2013

Sahleanu, Victor, *The notions's sphere of coexistence and integration, " Aura bodies interfaces with the cosmos "* (Cornelia Guja coord.), Scientific and Encyclopedic Publishing House, Bucharest, 1993

Sebeok , Thomas , " *Is a Comparative Semiotics Possible* ? " In Echanges et Communications , Mélanges offerts à Claude Lévi -Strauss à l'occasion de Son 60 Ème aniversaire , Paris, 1964

Sebeok , Thomas Albert , "*Review of Communication among Social Bees* , porpoises and Sonar , Man and Dolphin " , in Language Nr . 39 . Issue 3 , 448-466 , 2001

Uexkül, Jakob " A Stroll trought the Worlds Animals and Men," Instinctive Behavior : The Development of a Modern Concept, International Universities Press, New York, 1957

Ulieru, Marc, *An unforgettable journey into the human body, in the journal* "Science and Technology", Year LXI, no. 22, pp. 16-23, February 2013

Wilcox , S., "*Cognitive iconicity : Conceptual spaces, Meaning and gesture in sign languages*", Cognitive Linguistics 15 (2), pp.119 - 147, 2004